UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,126	12/01/2005	Ademar Odin Haron Driedijk	3135-052071	8454
	7590 09/17/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		MUSSELMAN, TIMOTHY A	
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/542,126	DRIEDIJK, ADEMAR ODIN HARON			
omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/9/20 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-22 and 24-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-22,24-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of the c	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

In response to applicant's submission dated 6/9/2008, claims 15-22 and 24-28 are currently pending, and claim 23 has been cancelled. Claims 1-15 have been cancelled previously.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the relevant portion of 35 U.S.C. 103 that forms the basis for the rejections made in this section of the office action;

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Claims 15-22 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al. (US 5,210,689).

Regarding claims 15, 20-21, and 24-25, Baker discloses a keyboard (keyboards are widely known to be keys embedded in a support structure), a processor, and a speaker. See fig. 3. Baker further discloses wherein the system adapts the keystrokes into sounds by use of the processor. See col. 8: 35-46. Baker does not teach wherein the keys are representative of Phonemes. However, this is old and well known in the art, as is *clearly* described by Baker in col. 1: 55-62, and also col. 3: 38-42. Thus, such a modification to the system of Baker would have been an obvious variation well within one of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 16, Baker discloses wherein the symbols are displayed on the keys. See fig. 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,126

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claim 17, Baker discloses wherein the processor is connected to a display. See col. 7: 21-40.

Page 3

Regarding claim 18, Baker discloses wherein plural keystrokes can correspond to only one symbol. See col. 8: 36-41.

Regarding claims 19 and 27, Baker discloses wherein the symbols are stored in an electronic database. See col. 6: 62-68.

Regarding claim 22, there must be an information carrier between the processor, speaker, and keyboard, such as wires or some equivalent. If the items were not connected, the system would not work.

Regarding claim 26, the use of phonemes on the keys would be an obvious variation of Baker as described above with reference to claim 24, and applicant's claim makes it clear that phonemes can be words. Thus this limitation would automatically follow from claim 24.

Regarding claim 28, there is always a propagation delay in electronic systems, determined by at least transmission line parameters. Thus, the nature of the transmission line at least would *pre-*determine a minimum delay.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments dated 6/9/2008 have been fully considered, but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,126 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to TIMOTHY MUSSELMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-1814. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon-Thu 6:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/T. M./

Acting Examiner of Art Unit 3714

/Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3714